

1 MAXWELL M. FREEMAN, #31278
2 LEE ROY PIERCE, JR., #119318
3 MICHAEL L. GUREV, #163268
4 THOMAS H. KEELING, #114979
5 FREEMAN, D'AUTO, PIERCE,
6 GUREV, KEELING & WOLF
7 A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION
8 1818 Grand Canal Boulevard, Suite 4
9 Stockton, California 95207
10 Telephone: (209) 474-1818
11 Facsimile: (209) 474-1245
12 E-mail: lrpierce@freemanfirm.com
13 mgurev@freemanfirm.com
14 tkeeling@freemanfirm.com

15 Attorneys for Defendants A.G. Spanos
16 Construction, Inc.; A.G. Spanos
17 Development, Inc.; A.G. Spanos
18 Land Company, Inc.; A.G. Spanos
19 Management, Inc., The Spanos Corporation

20
21 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
22 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

23 National Fair Housing Alliance, Inc., et al.,)	CASE NO. C07-03255-SBA
24)	
25 Plaintiffs,)	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
26)	REQUEST BY THE SPANOS
27 vs.)	DEFENDANTS FOR LEAVE TO
28)	NOTICE A MOTION FOR
A.G. Spanos Construction, Inc., et al.)	RECONSIDERATION
Defendants.)	
)	[N.D. Cal. Local Rule 7-3]
)	
)	Hearing Date:
)	Time:
)	Dept:

Complaint Filed: June 20, 2007

ORDER

Pursuant to Northern District Local Rule 7-9 and good cause appearing therefore,
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Spanos Defendants may forthwith notice a motion
for reconsideration of this court's order issued on April 4, 2008 denying the Spanos
Defendants' entire motion to dismiss. The motion for reconsideration is limited to the issue of

1 whether the Ninth Circuit's recent opinion in *Garcia v. Brockway*, 2008 U.S. App. LEXIS
2 10258 (9th Cir. May 13, 2008) constitutes a change in law such that this court should
3 reconsider its order denying the Spanos Defendants' motion to dismiss on statute of limitations
4 grounds.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6
7 Dated: _____, 2008.

8
9
10 SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG
United States District Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28